

## **General Disclaimer**

### **One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document**

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

1. Roy Eason SH 3

# AgRISTARS

"Made available under NASA sponsorship  
in the interest of early and wide dis-  
semination of Earth Resources Survey  
Program information and without liability  
for any use made thereof."

## Foreign Commodity Production Forecasting

FC-T2-04254

E82-10375  
CR-169/69

A Joint Program for  
Agriculture and  
Resources Inventory  
Surveys Through  
Aerospace  
Remote Sensing

December 1981

INCORPORATING PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SAMPLE SEGMENTS  
INTO ACREAGE ESTIMATION PROCEDURES: ESTIMATES USING  
ONLY OBSERVATIONS FROM THE CURRENT YEAR

3. Robert L. Sielken, Jr.

(E82-10375) INCORPORATING PARTIALLY  
IDENTIFIED SAMPLE SEGMENTS INTO ACREAGE  
ESTIMATION PROCEDURES: ESTIMATES USING ONLY  
OBSERVATIONS FROM THE CURRENT YEAR (Texas  
A&M Univ.) 77 p HC A05/MF A01

M82-32797

CSCL 02C G3/43 00375  
Unclassified

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

1. Texas A&M University  
Institute of Statistics  
College Station, Texas



1. Report No. FJ-T2-04254	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.		
4. Title and Subtitle  Incorporating Partially Identified Sample Segments Into Acreage Estimation Procedures; Estimates Using Only Observations From The Current Year		5. Report Date December 1981		
7. Author(s)  Robert L. Sielken, Jr.		6. Performing Organization Code		
9. Performing Organization Name and Address  Texas A&M University Institute of Statistics College Station, Texas		8. Performing Organization Report No.		
Tech. Monitor: A. Atkinson		10. Work Unit No.		
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address  AgRISTARS Program NASA - Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center Houston, Texas 77258		11. Contract or Grant No.		
		13. Type of Report and Period Covered  Technical Report		
		14. Sponsoring Agency Code		
15. Supplementary Notes  <b>ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY</b>				
16. Abstract:  For the purposes of area estimation a segment is said to be completely identified if the acreage of each crop of interest can be directly estimated. A segment is said to be only partially identified if some individual crops within a family of crops cannot be differentiated and their acreages individually estimated. For example, if it is estimated that 30% of a segment is spring small grains but no further differentiation into say spring wheat and other small grains is made, then the segment is only partially identified. Several methods of estimating individual crop acreages using a mixture of completely identified and partially identified segments from a single growing year are derived and discussed. A small Monte Carlo study of eight estimators is presented. The relative empirical behavior of these estimators is discussed as are the effects of segment sample size and amount of partial identification.				
The principle recommendations are (1) to not exclude, but rather incorporate partially identified sample segments into the estimation procedure, (2) try to avoid having a large percentage (say 80%) of only partially identified segments, in the sample, and (3) use the maximum likelihood estimator although the weighted least squares estimator and least squares ratio estimator both perform almost as well.				
In this report the family of crops which cannot always be completely identified is assumed to contain a particular crop of interest and the rest of the family is essentially considered collectively as simply a second crop. For example, the family of spring small grains might be subdivided into two crops, say barley and "other spring small grains."				
17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s))		18. Distribution Statement		
19. Security Classif. (of this report)  Unclassified		20. Security Classif. (of this page)  Unclassified	21. No. of Pages	22. Price*

\*For sale by the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161

**TECHNICAL REPORT 21**

**Incorporating Partially Identified Sample Segments  
Into Acreage Estimation Procedures: Estimates Using  
Only Observations From The Current Year**

**by**

**Robert L. Sielken Jr.**

**Institute of Statistics  
Texas A&M University**

**December, 1981**

## ABSTRACT

For the purposes of area estimation a segment is said to be completely identified if the acreage of each crop of interest can be directly estimated. A segment is said to be only partially identified if some individual crops within a family of crops cannot be differentiated and their acreages individually estimated. For example, if it estimated that 30% of a segment is spring small grains but no further differentiation into say spring wheat and other spring small grains is made, then the segment is only partially identified. Several methods of estimating individual crop acreages using a mixture of completely identified and partially identified segments from a single growing year are derived and discussed. A small Monte Carlo study of eight estimators is presented. The relative empirical behavior of these estimators is discussed as are the effects of segment sample size and amount of partial identification.

The principle recommendations are (1) to not exclude, but rather incorporate partially identified sample segments into the estimation procedure, (2) try to avoid having a very large percentage (say 80%) of only partially identified segments in the sample, and (3) use the maximum likelihood estimator although the weighted least squares estimator and least squares ratio estimator both perform almost as well.

In this report the family of crops which cannot always be completely identified is assumed to contain a particular crop of interest and the rest of the family is essentially considered collectively as simply a second crop. For example, the family of spring small grains might be subdivided into two crops, say barley and "other spring small grains".

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Introduction . . . . .	1
2. Estimation Procedures . . . . .	3
2.1 Maximum Likelihood Estimates . . . . .	4
2.2 Least Squares Estimates . . . . .	5
2.3 Weighted Least Squares Estimates . . . . .	6
2.4 The Combination of a Least Squares Ratio Estimate and a Maximum Likelihood Proportion Estimate . . . . .	8
3. Empirical Behavior of Some Estimation Procedures . . . . .	10
3.1 Potential for Bias . . . . .	10
3.2 Monte Carlo Study . . . . .	11
3.3 Evaluation Criteria . . . . .	12
3.4 Indications of the Monte Carlo Study . . . . .	13
4. Recommendations . . . . .	16
Tables 1-6 . . . . .	17-22
Appendix A . . . . .	23
Appendix B . . . . .	48

## 1. Introduction

A sample of segments within a stratum is selected. Each segment is observed repeatedly through the growing seasons. The objective is to estimate for each crop of interest the proportion of the stratum's acreage corresponding to that crop's harvested acreage.

In this report, we shall assume that there are only two crops of interest. Furthermore, we shall assume that only data from the current growing year are to be used in estimating the crop at harvest proportions. The cases corresponding to more than two crops of interest and data available from more than one growing year will be considered in subsequent reports.

The sample segments are all assumed to be of the same size. No assumption is made about the stratum size or the segment size. The sampled segments are assumed to represent a random sample (without replacement) from the segments in the stratum.

Each sample segment is assumed to have been observed at least once during the growing year and possibly several times. The two crops of interest will be designated as crop A and crop B. When a sample segment is observed, the observation can have the form

$$(p_A, p_B, p_{\text{other}})$$

where

$p_A$  = the estimated proportion of the segment which will be harvested in crop A,

$p_B$  = the estimated proportion of the segment which will be harvested in crop B, and

$p_{\text{other}} = 1 - p_A - p_B$  = the estimated proportion of the segment which will not be harvested in either A or B.

Alternatively, estimates may not be made on A and B separately but only on A and B collectively, so that the observation can have the form

$$(p_{A+B}, p_{\text{other}})$$

where

$p_{A+B}$  = the estimated proportion of the segment that will be harvested in either A or B, and

$p_{\text{other}} = 1 - p_{A+B}$  = the estimated proportion of the segment that will not be harvested in A or B.

These estimates are assumed to reflect any previous observations made on this sample segment during the current growing year. If a sample segment's current observation is of the form

$$(p_{A+B}, p_{\text{other}}),$$

then the sample segment will be called partially identified. If its observation is of the form

$$(p_A, p_B, p_{\text{other}}),$$

then it will be called completely identified.

The proportion of the stratum harvested in crop A will be denoted  $p_A$  with  $p_B$  similarly defined. The objective is to estimate  $p_A$  and  $p_B$  using the observations on the sample segments. This estimation may have to be made at more than one time during the growing year. Of course, if there are no completely identified sampled segments, only the sum  $p_A + p_B$  can be estimated on the basis of the sample segments.

## 2. Estimation Procedures

There are undoubtedly several decision makers within a stratum who collectively determine the proportional acreages ( $P_A$ ,  $P_B$ ,  $P_{\text{other}}$ ) for the stratum. The exact decision making process is unknown. Hence, certain assumptions will be made about the outcome of this decision making process, and these assumptions will suggest a few reasonable estimation procedures for consideration. The assumptions are at best approximations and are no doubt technically not satisfied. The only role of these assumptions is to suggest estimation procedures. The worth of these procedures will not be determined relative to the underlying assumptions which suggested them, but rather determined by their behavior on real sample data.

The number of acres harvested in a segment, say ( $X_A$ ,  $X_B$ ,  $N - X_A - X_B$ ), will be assumed to follow a multinomial distribution with

$$P(X_A = x_A, X_B = x_B) = \frac{N}{x_A! x_B! (N - x_A - x_B)!} P_A^{x_A} P_B^{x_B} (1 - P_A - P_B)^{N - x_A - x_B} . \quad (1)$$

This assumption would be technically correct if every decision maker acted independently and for each unit of acreage chose crop A, crop B, or other with probabilities  $P_A$ ,  $P_B$ , and  $P_{\text{other}}$  respectively. The CAMS estimated segment proportions ( $p_A$ ,  $p_B$ ,  $p_{\text{other}}$ ) are assumed to correspond to

$$\frac{x_A}{N}, \frac{x_B}{N}, \frac{N - x_A - x_B}{N} .$$

The following notation will be used throughout this paper. The total number of segments sampled will be denoted by

$$n = \text{segment sample size} \quad (2)$$

The sample segments which have been completely identified will be denoted by

$$(p_{Ai}, p_{Bi}, 1 - p_{Ai} - p_{Bi}) \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, I. \quad (3)$$

Similarly, the sample segments which have only been partially identified will be

denoted by

$$[(p_A + p_B)_j, 1 - (p_A - p_B)_j], j=1, 2, \dots, J. \quad (4)$$

Hence

$$n = I + J. \quad (5)$$

Since no separate estimate of  $p_A$  and  $p_B$  could be made solely on the basis of the sampled segments unless some sampled segments had been completely identified, it will be assumed that

$$I > 0 \quad (6)$$

### 2.1 Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Under the assumed multinomial distribution (1) the joint likelihood for the CAMS estimates is

$$L = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^I \frac{N!}{x_{Ai}! x_{Bi}! (N - x_{Ai} - x_{Bi})!} p_A^{x_{Ai}} p_B^{x_{Bi}} (1 - p_A - p_B)^{N - x_{Ai} - x_{Bi}} \right\} \cdot \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{N!}{(x_A + x_B)! [N - (x_A + x_B)_j]!} (p_A + p_B)^{x_A + x_B} [1 - (p_A + p_B)]^{N - (x_A + x_B)_j} \right\} \quad (7)$$

or, equivalently,

$$L = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^I \frac{N!}{(Np_{Ai})! (Np_{Bi})! (N - Np_{Ai} - Np_{Bi})!} p_A^{Np_{Ai}} p_B^{Np_{Bi}} (1 - p_A - p_B)^{N - Np_{Ai} - Np_{Bi}} \right\} \cdot \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{N!}{[N(p_A + p_B)_j]! (N[1 - (p_A + p_B)_j])!} (p_A + p_B)^{N(p_A + p_B)_j} (1 - p_A - p_B)^{N - N(p_A + p_B)_j} \right\} \quad (8)$$

The corresponding maximum likelihood estimates are

$$\hat{p}_A = \frac{\frac{I}{\sum_{i=1}^I p_{Ai}}}{\frac{I}{\sum_{i=1}^I p_{Ai}} + \frac{I}{\sum_{i=1}^I p_{Bi}}} \left\{ \frac{\frac{I}{\sum_{i=1}^I p_{Ai}} + \frac{I}{\sum_{i=1}^I p_{Bi}} + \sum_{j=1}^J (p_A + p_B)_j}{I + J} \right\}, \quad (9)$$

$$\hat{p}_B = \frac{\frac{I}{\sum_{i=1}^I p_{Bi}}}{\frac{I}{\sum_{i=1}^I p_{Ai}} + \frac{I}{\sum_{i=1}^I p_{Bi}}} \left\{ \frac{\frac{I}{\sum_{i=1}^I p_{Ai}} + \frac{I}{\sum_{i=1}^I p_{Bi}} + \sum_{j=1}^J (p_A + p_B)_j}{I + J} \right\}, \quad (10)$$

and

$$(1 - \hat{p}_A - \hat{p}_B) = 1 - \hat{p}_A - \hat{p}_B. \quad (11)$$

The form of these estimators is really quite intuitive. For example,

$$p_A = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Estimated Proportion} \\ \text{of Crops A and B} \\ \text{that is Crop A.} \end{array} \right\} \cdot \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Estimated Proportion} \\ \text{of the Stratum that} \\ \text{is Crop A or B} \end{array} \right\} \quad (12)$$

## 2.2 Least Squares Estimates

If  $(\hat{p}_A, \hat{p}_B, 1 - \hat{p}_A - \hat{p}_B)$  is chosen to minimize

$$\sum_{i=1}^I (p_{Ai} - p_A)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^I (p_{Bi} - p_B)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^I [(1 - p_{Ai} - p_{Bi}) - (1 - p_A - p_B)]^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J [(p_A + p_B)_j - (p_A + p_B)]^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J [1 - (p_A + p_B)_j] - [1 - (p_A + p_B)]^2 \quad (13)$$

with respect to  $P_A$  and  $P_B$ , then  $(\hat{P}_A, \hat{P}_B, 1 - \hat{P}_A - \hat{P}_B)$ , would be the least squares estimate of  $(P_A, P_B, 1 - P_A - P_B)$ . The resulting least squares estimates are

$$\hat{P}_A = \left\{ \frac{I}{2} \sum_{i=1}^I p_{Ai} + \frac{I}{2} \sum_{i=1}^I p_{Bi} + \frac{J}{2} \sum_{j=1}^J (p_A + p_B)_j - P_B(I + 2J) \right\} / 2(I + J) \quad (14)$$

and

$$\hat{P}_B = \left\{ (3I + 2J) \sum_{i=1}^I p_{Bi} - 2J \sum_{i=1}^I p_{Ai} + 2I \sum_{j=1}^J (p_A + p_B)_j \right\} / I(3I + 4J). \quad (14)$$

### 2.3 Weighted Least Squares Estimates

Since one may wish to give more weight to more precise (less variable) estimates than to less precise (more variable) estimates, a weighted least squares estimate of  $(P_A, P_B, 1 - P_A - P_B)$  may be preferable. The weighted least squares estimate minimizes

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^I w_{Ai} (p_{Ai} - P_A)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^I w_{Bi} (p_{Bi} - P_B)^2 + \\ & \sum_{i=1}^I w_{A+B,i} [(1 - p_{Ai} - p_{Bi}) - (1 - P_A - P_B)]^2 + \\ & \sum_{j=1}^J w_{A+B,j} [(p_A + p_B)_j - (P_A + P_B)]^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J w_{A+B,j} \left\{ [1 - (p_A + p_B)_j] - \right. \\ & \left. [1 - (P_A + P_B)] \right\}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

with respect to  $P_A$  and  $P_B$ . The corresponding weighted least squares estimates would be

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}_A &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^I w_{Ai} p_{Ai} + \sum_{i=1}^I w_{A+B,i} (p_{Ai} + p_{Bi}) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^J w_{A+B,j} (p_A + p_B)_j - \right. \\ \hat{P}_B &= \left. \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^I w_{A+B,i} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^J w_{A+B,j} \right\} \right\} / \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^I w_{Ai} + \sum_{i=1}^I w_{A+B,i} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^J w_{A+B,j} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

and

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{p}_B &= \left\{ \left[ \sum_{i=1}^I W_{Bi} p_{Bi} + \sum_{i=1}^I W_{A+B,i} (p_{Ai} + p_{Bi}) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^J W_{A+B,j} (p_A + p_B)_j \right] \cdot \right. \\ &\quad \left( \sum_{i=1}^I W_{Ai} + \sum_{i=1}^I W_{A+B,i} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^J W_{A+B,j} \right) - \\ &\quad \left[ \sum_{i=1}^I W_{Ai} p_{Ai} + \sum_{i=1}^I W_{A+B,i} (p_{Ai} + p_{Bi}) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^J W_{A+B,j} (p_A + p_B)_j \right] \cdot \\ &\quad \left. \left[ \sum_{i=1}^I W_{A+B,i} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^J W_{A+B,j} \right] \right\} / \left\{ \left[ \sum_{i=1}^I W_{Ai} + \sum_{i=1}^I W_{A+B,i} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^J W_{A+B,j} \right] \cdot \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left[ \sum_{i=1}^I W_{Bi} + \sum_{i=1}^I W_{A+B,i} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^J W_{A+B,j} \right] - \left[ \sum_{i=1}^I W_{A+B,i} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^J W_{A+B,j} \right]^2 \right\}. \quad (16)\end{aligned}$$

If the weights,  $W$ , are all taken to be one, then the weighted least squares estimates (16) are equal to the least squares estimates (14). A reasonable alternative to equal weights, say  $W$ 's = 1, is to weight each squared difference inversely in proportion to the approximate variance of the CAMS estimate it contains. Specifically,

$$\begin{aligned}W_{Ai} &= 1/\hat{p}_A(1 - \hat{p}_A) \quad i=1,2,\dots,I, \\ W_{Bi} &= 1/\hat{p}_B(1 - \hat{p}_B) \quad i=1,2,\dots,I, \\ W_{A+B,i} &= 1/(1 - \hat{p}_A - \hat{p}_B)_i (\hat{p}_A + \hat{p}_B)_i \quad i=1,2,\dots,I, \\ W_{A+B,j} &= 1/(\hat{p}_A + \hat{p}_B)_j (1 - \hat{p}_A - \hat{p}_B)_j \quad j=1,2,\dots,J, \quad (17)\end{aligned}$$

These weights put more weight on the differences where the CAMS estimates are more precise (less variable).

The weights (17) use estimated variances corresponding to the multinomial distribution assumption. The actual calculation of the weighted least squares estimates would be an iterative procedure. Initially, the weights would be set

equal to one, then  $\hat{P}_A$  and  $\hat{P}_B$  determined from (16). These initial estimates  $\hat{P}_A$  and  $\hat{P}_B$  would be substituted into (17) to determine the weights for the second iteration. The evaluation of (16) now yields the second set of estimates  $\hat{P}_A$  and  $\hat{P}_B$ . After a moderate number of iterations, say 4, the  $\hat{P}_A$  and  $\hat{P}_B$  should change very little and will be taken as the weighted least squares estimates.

#### 2.4 The Combination of a Least Squares Ratio Estimate and a Maximum Likelihood Proportion Estimate

An alternative approach is to use only the completely identified sample segments to estimate

$$R_A = P_A / (P_A + P_B) \quad (18)$$

where  $R_A$  is the relative proportion of crop A among the acreage proportion for crop A or B. Similarly,

$$R_B = P_B / (P_A + P_B) = 1 - R_A. \quad (19)$$

Then, having estimated  $R_A$  and hence  $R_B$ , estimate the overall stratum proportions  $P_A$  and  $P_B$  by

$$\hat{P}_A = \hat{R}_A \quad . \quad \hat{P}_{A+B}$$

and

$$\hat{P}_B = \hat{R}_B \quad . \quad \hat{P}_{A+B} \quad (20)$$

where  $\hat{P}_{A+B}$  is an estimate for the combined acreage proportion

$$P_{A+B} = P_A + P_B \quad . \quad (21)$$

The combined acreage proportion  $P_{A+B}$  could be estimated by the maximum likelihood estimate

$$\hat{P}_{A+B} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^I p_{Ai} + \sum_{i=1}^I p_{Bi} + \sum_{j=1}^J (p_A + p_B)_j \right\} / (I + J) . \quad (22)$$

Other estimates of  $P_{A+B}$  could be used.

The relative proportion  $R_A$  can be estimated by minimizing

$$\sum_{i=1}^I [p_{Ai} - R_A(p_{Ai} + p_{Bi})]^2 + \sum_{i=1}^I [p_{Bi} - (1 - R_A)(p_{Ai} + p_{Bi})]^2 \quad (23)$$

(9)

with respect to  $R_A$ . The resulting least squares estimator of  $R_A$  is

$$\hat{R}_A = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^I p_{A1} (p_{A1} + p_{B1})}{\sum_{i=1}^I (p_{A1} + p_{B1})^2} . \quad (24)$$

### 3. Empirical Behavior of Some Estimation Procedures

Someone once said, "The proof of the pudding is in the tasting." Similarly, here the proof of an estimation procedure's value lies in its actual performance on real data. To learn about the empirical behavior of the four alternative estimation procedures

- (1) maximum likelihood estimators, (9) - (11),
- (2) least squares estimators, (14),
- (3) weighted least squares estimators, (16), and
- (4) the combination of a least squares ratio estimator, (24), and the maximum likelihood proportion estimator, (22),

a Monte Carlo study was performed. The structure of the study is discussed in subsections 3.2 and 3.3. The computer output from the study is reproduced in Appendices A and B. The conclusions reached from the study are given in subsection 3.4.

#### 3.1 Potential for Bias

When some of the sampled segments are only partially identified, it is possible for the estimators of  $(P_A, P_B, 1-P_A-P_B)$  to be biased.

To demonstrate this potential for bias, the following simple example is given. The stratum is composed of two segments. Segment one has  $(p_A, p_B, 1-p_A-p_B) = (.5, .5, 0)$ , and segment two has  $(p_A, p_B, 1-p_A-p_B) = (.2, .6, .2)$ . Hence, the stratum has  $(P_A, P_B, 1-P_A-P_B) = (.35, .55, .1)$ . Suppose that the maximum likelihood estimator (9) - (11) is to be used. One segment is to be partially identified and one completely identified. If segment one is the one completely identified, then

$$\hat{P}_A = \left( \frac{.5}{.5 + .5} \right) \left( \frac{.5 + .5 + .8}{1 + 1} \right) = .45 .$$

If segment two is the one completely identified, then

$$\hat{P}_A = \left( \frac{.2}{.2 + .6} \right) \left( \frac{.2 + .6 + 1}{1 + 1} \right) = .225 .$$

Thus, the expected value of  $\hat{P}_A$  is

$$E[\hat{P}_A] = .5(.45) + .5(.225) = .3375 .$$

Hence  $\hat{P}_A$  is biased since  $.3375 \neq .35$ .

### 3.2 Monte Carlo Study

The simulation of the behavior of the estimation procedures was based around two real sets of CAMS estimates. The 1977 North Dakota CAMS estimates of

crop A = spring wheat

and

crop B = spring small grains other than spring wheat

formed one set. The second set was the 1978 North Dakota CAMS estimates of

crop A = barley

and

crop B = spring small grains other than barley.

There were 83 segments comprising the first set and 76 segments in the second set.

All simulations were done on each data set separately.

To obtain a single observation on  $(\hat{P}_A, \hat{P}_B, 1-\hat{P}_A-\hat{P}_B)$  for each estimator the following procedure was performed:

- 1) Simulate a stratum by sampling without replacement segments from the data set.
- ii) Select a sample of segments from the simulated stratum by sampling without replacement segments from the simulated stratum.
- iii) Calculate all estimators.

This procedure was repeated 1000 times for each data set, stratum size, segment sample size, and fraction of the segment sample that would be only partially identified.

Strata of size 40 segments and 20 segments were considered. The segment sample sizes were 5, 10, and 15 when the stratum size was 40 segments and were 5 and 10 when

the stratum size was 20 segments. For each stratum size and segment sample size, 20%, 40%, 60%, and 80% of the segments were partially identified.

### 3.3 Evaluation Criteria

There are several possible ways to measure the sample behavior of the estimators. For each estimator and each of  $\hat{P}_A$ ,  $\hat{P}_B$ , and  $1 - \hat{P}_A - \hat{P}_B$  the following measures were calculated for each data set:

- (i) average absolute error = the average over 1000 simulations of  $|\hat{P} - P_{Stratum}|$  where  $P_{Stratum}$  represents the actual crop proportion in the particular simulated stratum,
- (ii) average squared error = the average over 1000 simulations of  $(\hat{P} - P_{Stratum})^2$
- (iii) bias of average estimate = the difference between the average  $(\hat{P})$  in 1000 simulations and  $P_{Set}$  where  $P_{Set}$  is the actual crop proportion in the entire set of segments, and
- (iv) sample variance of the estimator.

Some information is, of course, lost when some segments are only partially identified. To assess this loss, the maximum likelihood estimators were also calculated using the complete identification for all sampled segments. Since these estimators have complete information for the entire sample of  $n$  segments instead of complete information on only some of the  $n$  segments and partial information on the remainder, these latter estimators should perform better.

To show that the inclusion of the partially identified segments into the estimation procedure is better than simply ignoring them, the maximum likelihood estimators, least squares estimators, and weighted least squares estimators were also calculated using only the subset of the  $n$  sample segments corresponding to the completely identified segments.

The observed behavior of each of these eight estimators is detailed in the computer outputs reproduced in Appendix A for the 1977 spring wheat data set and Appendix B for the 1978 barley data set.

### 3.4 Indications of the Monte Carlo Study

Summaries of the observed average absolute errors for the better estimators are given in Tables 1 and 2 for the 1977 and 1978 data sets respectively. The average over the entire data sets of  $(p_A, p_B, 1 - p_A - p_B)$  are

1977: (.235, .073, .692)

1978: (.053, .251, .696).

Hence it is not surprising to observe that the behaviors of the estimators for A in 1977 are very similar to those for B in 1978 and, correspondingly, the estimators for B in 1977 and for A in 1978 have nearly identical behavior. Also, the behaviors of the estimators of  $1 - p_A - p_B$  in 1977 are nearly the same as the corresponding behaviors in 1978.

The unweighted least squares estimators given in (14) are substantially inferior to the other estimators, and hence their behavior is not summarized in Tables 1 and 2. There are much smaller differences among the remaining estimators.

When both the partially and completely identified sample segment estimates are incorporated into the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) given in (9)-(11), the weighted least squares estimator (WLS) given in (16), and the combination of the least squares ratio estimator (LSR) given in (24) and the maximum likelihood proportional acreage estimator given in (22), all three estimators have similar behavior. Overall, the MLE is slightly better than the WLS, and the WLS is slightly better than the LSR. The differences are only slight since the average absolute error for LSR is never more than 110% of that for MLE. The average squared errors tell the same story. There are no significant differences among the biases or sample variances for the MLE, WLS, and LSR.

The effects of the sample size and amount of partial identification are essentially the same for MLE, WLS, and LSR. When the underlying data set proportional acreage is nearly 25% as it is for  $p_A$  in 1977 and  $p_B$  in 1978, then the average absolute error decreases as the segment sample size,  $n$ , increases roughly as

follows

- .04 for  $n = 5$ ,
- .03 for  $n = 10$ , and
- .02 for  $n = 15$

When the underlying data set proportional acreage is nearly 5% as it is for  $P_B$  in 1977 and  $P_A$  in 1978, then the average absolute decreases with increasing segment sample size roughly as follows

- .02 for  $n = 5$ ,
- .015 for  $n = 10$ , and
- .01 for  $n = 15$ .

There is roughly a quadratic increase in average absolute error as the amount of partial identification increases from 20% to 40% to 60% to 80%. The average absolute error increases around twice as much when the amount of partial identification increases from 60% to 80% as it does when the amount of partial identification increases from 40% to 60%.

All of the estimators seem to slightly (1%) overestimate the combined proportional acreage for A and B when the segment sample size,  $n$ , is 5. This bias drops to 1% when  $n = 10$  and essentially disappears at  $n = 15$ .

The WLS does not seem to be as good as either MLE or LSR when there is only one completely identified sample segment.

Tables 3 and 4 indicate the slight increase in average absolute error caused by only partially identifying a segment's acreages instead of completely identifying them. Only the behavior of MLE is summarized since WLS and LSR should behave similarly. Naturally the increase in the average absolute error increases as the amount of partial identification increases. For less than 80% partial identification there is always an increase of less than .01. For 80% the largest increase is less than .017. At 90% partial identification the average absolute error can double. Of course, if there is 100% partial identification, then there are no individual crop estimates.

Tables 5 and 6 indicate the average absolute errors both with and without the partially identified sample segments being included in the estimators MLE and WLS. These tables are presented to exemplify the value of at least partially identifying a sample segment instead of simply disregarding it if it can't be completely identified. The percentage increase in the average absolute errors when the underlying proportional acreage is nearly 25% is usually at least

5%	-	10%	for	20%	partial identification,
10%	-	25%	for	40%	partial identification,
25%	-	50%	for	60%	partial identification,
50%	-	100%	for	80%	partial identification.

The percentage increases are somewhat smaller when the underlying proportional acreage is around 5%.

4. Recommendations

On the basis of the limited Monte Carlo study the following recommendations are made:

- 1) As long as there are some completely identified sample segments, it is reasonable to estimate the individual crop proportions in the stratum.
- 2) It is prudent to avoid having a large percentage (say 80%) of only partially identified sample segments.
- 3) It is much better to incorporate the partially identified sample segments into the estimators than it is to disregard the partially identified sample segments.
- 4) The maximum likelihood estimators seem to be the best estimators, but they are not greatly superior to weighted least squares estimators or the use of a least squares ratio estimator.

Table 1. A Summary of the Average Absolute Error for the Maximum Likelihood Estimator (MLE), the Weighted Least Squares Estimator (WLS), and the Combination of Least Squares Ratio Estimator (LSR) with the Maximum Likelihood Proportional Acreage Estimator on the 1977 North Dakota Spring Wheat Data Set

Stratum Sample Size	Segment Sample Size	Segments Only Partially Identified	$\hat{P}_A$			$\hat{P}_B$			$1 - \hat{P}_A - \hat{P}_B$		
			MLE	WLS	LSR	MLE	WLS	LSR	MLE	WLS	LSR
40	5	1	.0424	.0424	.0431	.0156	.0157	.0156	.0512	.0512	.0512
		2	.0433	.0435	.0442	.0177	.0176	.0181	.0516	.0516	.0516
		3	.0442	.0452	.0450	.0210	.0208	.0213	.0510	.0510	.0510
		4	.0491	.0621	.0491	.0281	.0410	.0281	.0505	.0507	.0505
10	2		.0276	.0276	.0281	.0106	.0106	.0104	.0334	.0334	.0334
	4		.0288	.0288	.0296	.0120	.0122	.0120	.0343	.0343	.0343
	6		.0298	.0299	.0305	.0144	.0145	.0149	.0342	.0342	.0342
	8		.0328	.0334	.0338	.0194	.0199	.0202	.0339	.0339	.0339
15	3		.0206	.0206	.0210	.0079	.0079	.0080	.0248	.0248	.0248
	6		.0207	.0207	.0212	.0093	.0095	.0096	.0247	.0248	.0247
	9		.0216	.0217	.0222	.0108	.0110	.0114	.0247	.0247	.0247
	12		.0249	.0251	.0260	.0160	.0160	.0170	.0251	.0252	.0251
20	5	1	.0387	.0387	.0395	.0149	.0150	.0149	.0473	.0472	.0473
	2		.0385	.0387	.0394	.0172	.0173	.0176	.0463	.0463	.0463
	3		.0417	.0425	.0426	.0199	.0199	.0202	.0477	.0476	.0477
	4		.0464	.0610	.0464	.0287	.0439	.0287	.0472	.0474	.0472
10	2		.0231	.0231	.0236	.0085	.0086	.0087	.0272	.0272	.0272
	4		.0232	.0233	.0240	.0105	.0108	.0107	.0271	.0271	.0271
	6		.0241	.0242	.0247	.0131	.0132	.0136	.0269	.0270	.0269
	8		.0287	.0290	.0296	.0185	.0192	.0193	.0279	.0278	.0279

Table 2. A Summary of the Average Absolute Error for the Maximum Likelihood Estimator (MLE), the Weighted Least Squares Estimator (WLS), and the Combination of Least Squares Ratio Estimator (LSR) with the Maximum Likelihood Proportional Acreage Estimator on the 1978 North Dakota Barley Data Set

Stratum Sample Size	Segment Sample Size	Segments		$\hat{P}_A$			$\hat{P}_B$			$1 - \hat{P}_A - \hat{P}_B$		
		Only	Partially Identified	MLE	WLS	LSR	MLE	WLS	LSR	MLE	WLS	LSR
0	5	1		.0183	.0182	.0194	.0426	.0426	.0433	.0508	.0509	.0508
		2		.0205	.0203	.0218	.0446	.0448	.0455	.0523	.0523	.0523
		3		.0239	.0232	.0252	.0463	.0465	.0470	.0516	.0516	.0516
		4		.0333	.0347	.0333	.0515	.0536	.0515	.0514	.0515	.0514
10	2			.0116	.0116	.0125	.0294	.0294	.0302	.0341	.0342	.0341
	4			.0136	.0136	.0146	.0282	.0283	.0290	.0332	.0332	.0332
	6			.0163	.0160	.0178	.0316	.0319	.0326	.0337	.0337	.0337
	8			.0229	.0227	.0242	.0348	.0355	.0356	.0335	.0335	.0335
15	3			.0092	.0091	.0100	.0219	.0219	.0225	.0260	.0259	.0260
	6			.0103	.0102	.0112	.0225	.0225	.0234	.0262	.0262	.0262
	9			.0129	.0125	.0139	.0231	.0231	.0241	.0262	.0261	.0262
	12			.0173	.0169	.0187	.0263	.0265	.0271	.0260	.0260	.0260
20	5	1		.0169	.0168	.0179	.0408	.0408	.0414	.0486	.0486	.0486
	2			.0190	.0189	.0201	.0414	.0415	.0422	.0482	.0483	.0482
	3			.0233	.0227	.0246	.0441	.0445	.0447	.0492	.0493	.0492
	4			.0327	.0356	.0327	.0488	.0521	.0488	.0478	.0480	.0478
10	2			.0100	.0100	.0109	.0239	.0239	.0245	.0274	.0275	.0275
	4			.0123	.0123	.0133	.0238	.0238	.0243	.0271	.0271	.0271
	6			.0149	.0147	.0165	.0254	.0253	.0263	.0265	.0266	.0265
	8			.0217	.0220	.0232	.0305	.0306	.0316	.0277	.0278	.0277

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

-19-

Table 3. The Loss From Only Partially Identifying Sample Segments: A Summary of the Average Absolute Errors for the Maximum Likelihood Estimator Both With All Sample Segments Completely Identified and With Only Some Completely Identified and the Remainder Partially Identified (1977 North Dakota Spring Wheat Data Set)

Stratum Sample Size	Segment Sample Size	Segments Only Partially Identified	$\hat{P}_A$		$\hat{P}_B$	
			All	Some	All	Some
40	5	1	.0416	.0424		
		2	.0425	.0433	.0147	.0156
		3	.0414	.0442	.0146	.0177
		4	.0413	.0491	.0148	.0210
	10	2	.0271	.0276		
		4	.0278	.0288	.0098	.0106
		6	.0278	.0298	.0099	.0120
		8	.0274	.0328	.0098	.0144
	15	3	.0203	.0206		
		6	.0200	.0207	.0072	.0079
		9	.0200	.0216	.0074	.0093
		12	.0205	.0249	.0072	.0108
					.0073	.0160
20	5	1	.0383	.0387		
		2	.0378	.0385	.0137	.0149
		3	.0389	.0417	.0139	.0172
		4	.0385	.0464	.0140	.0199
	10	2	.0227	.0231		
		4	.0222	.0232	.0076	.0085
		6	.0221	.0241	.0081	.0105
		8	.0229	.0286	.0078	.0131
					.0080	.0185

Table 4. The Loss From Only Partially Identifying Sample Segments: A Summary of the Average Absolute Errors for the Maximum Likelihood Estimator Both With All Sample Segments Completely Identified and With Only Some Completely Identified and the Remainder Partially Identified (1978 North Dakota Barley Data Set)

Stratum Sample Size	Segment Sample Size	Segments Only Size	$\hat{P}_A$		$\hat{P}_B$	
			All	Some	All	Some
40	5	1	.0170	.0183	.0421	.0426
		2	.0170	.0205		
		3	.0167	.0239		
		4	.0170	.0333		
10	10	2	.0106	.0116	.0288	.0294
		4	.0108	.0136		
		6	.0104	.0163		
		8	.0108	.0229		
15	15	3	.0081	.0092	.0215	.0219
		6	.0082	.0103		
		9	.0080	.0129		
		12	.0081	.0173		
20	5	1	.0154	.0169	.0399	.0408
		2	.0154	.0190		
		3	.0157	.0233		
		4	.0154	.0327		
10	10	2	.0087	.0099	.0233	.0239
		4	.0091	.0123		
		6	.0085	.0149		
		8	.0091	.0217		

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

Table 5. A Summary of the Average Absolute Errors for the Maximum Likelihood Estimator (MLE), and the Weighted Least Squares Estimator (WLS), both With and Without the Partially Identified Sample Segments on the 1977 North Dakota Spring Wheat Data Set

Stratum Sample Size	Segment Sample Size	Segments Only Size	$\hat{p}_A$	$\hat{p}_B$			
				MLE With	MLE Without	WLS With	WLS Without
40	5	1	.0424 .0476	.0424 .0476	.0156 .0166	.0157 .0166	
		2	.0433 .0566	.0435 .0566	.0177 .0201	.0176 .0201	
		3	.0442 .0693	.0452 .0693	.0210 .0254	.0208 .0254	
		4	.0491 .1030	.0621 .1030	.0281 .0365	.0410 .0365	
	10	2	.0276 .0324	.0276 .0324	.0106 .0115	.0106 .0115	
		4	.0288 .0381	.0288 .0288	.0120 .0135	.0122 .0135	
		6	.0298 .0491	.0299 .0491	.0144 .0176	.0145 .0176	
		8	.0328 .0725	.0334 .0725	.0194 .0248	.0199 .0248	
	15	3	.0206 .0245	.0206 .0245	.0079 .0086	.0079 .0086	
		6	.0207 .0289	.0207 .0289	.0093 .0107	.0095 .0107	
		9	.0216 .0386	.0217 .0386	.0108 .0140	.0110 .0140	
		12	.0249 .0578	.0251 .0578	.0160 .0204	.0160 .0204	
20	5	1	.0387 .0443	.0387 .0443	.0149 .0163	.0150 .0163	
		2	.0385 .0526	.0387 .0526	.0172 .0194	.0173 .0194	
		3	.0417 .0682	.0425 .0682	.0199 .0242	.0199 .0242	
		4	.0464 .1005	.0610 .1005	.0287 .0359	.0439 .0359	
	10	2	.0231 .0278	.0231 .0278	.0085 .0096	.0086 .0096	
		4	.0232 .0338	.0233 .0338	.0105 .0121	.0108 .0121	
		6	.0241 .0453	.0242 .0453	.0131 .0163	.0132 .0163	
		8	.0286 .0706	.0394 .0706	.0185 .0241	.0192 .0241	

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

Table 6. A Summary of the Average Absolute Errors for the Maximum Likelihood Estimator (MLE), and the Weighted Least Squares Estimator (WLS), both With and Without the Partially Identified Sample Segments on the 1978 North Dakota Barley Data Set

Stratum Sample Size	Segment Segments		$\hat{p}_A$	$\hat{p}_B$				
	Sample Only Size	Partially Identified			MLE	WLS	MLE	WLS
			With	Without	With	Without	With	Without
40	5	1	.0183	.0189	.0182	.0189	.0426	.0475
		2	.0205	.0220	.0203	.0220	.0446	.0579
		3	.0239	.0273	.0232	.0273	.0463	.0689
		4	.0333	.0389	.0345	.0389	.0515	.0103
10	2		.0116	.0120	.0116	.0120	.0294	.0326
		4	.0136	.0149	.0136	.0149	.0282	.0380
		6	.0163	.0187	.0160	.0187	.0316	.0501
		8	.0229	.0276	.0227	.0276	.0348	.0689
15	3		.0092	.0098	.0091	.0098	.0219	.0264
		6	.0103	.0119	.0102	.0119	.0225	.0324
		9	.0129	.0156	.0125	.0156	.0231	.0403
		12	.0173	.0216	.0179	.0216	.0263	.0590
20	5	1	.0169	.0176	.0168	.0176	.0408	.0466
		2	.0190	.0203	.0189	.0203	.0414	.0540
		3	.0233	.0265	.0227	.0265	.0441	.0679
		4	.0327	.0385	.0356	.0385	.0488	.0996
10	2		.0099	.0105	.0100	.0105	.0239	.0281
		4	.0123	.0137	.0123	.0137	.0238	.0339
		6	.0149	.0176	.0147	.0176	.0254	.0455
		8	.0217	.0260	.0220	.0260	.0305	.0646

APPENDIX A

**MONTE CARLO STUDY USING THE  
1977 SPRING WHEAT DATA SET**

NASA  
PARTIAL IDENTIFICATION PROBLEM  
SIMULATOR FOR SINGLE YEAR ESTIMATION PROCEDURES

TWO CROPS OF INTEREST

DECEMBER 1981

EIGHT ESTIMATION PROCEDURES ARE CONSIDERED

1. MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION USING BOTH PARTIALLY AND COMPLETELY IDENTIFIED SAMPLE SEGMENTS
2. MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION USING ONLY THE COMPLETELY IDENTIFIED SAMPLE SEGMENTS
3. MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION PRETENDING THAT ALL SAMPLE SEGMENTS WERE COMPLETELY IDENTIFIED
4. UNWEIGHTED LEAST SQUARES ESTIMATION USING BOTH PARTIALLY AND COMPLETELY IDENTIFIED SAMPLE SEGMENTS
5. UNWEIGHTED LEAST SQUARES ESTIMATION USING ONLY THE COMPLETELY IDENTIFIED SAMPLE SEGMENTS
6. WEIGHTED LEAST SQUARES ESTIMATION USING BOTH PARTIALLY AND COMPLETELY IDENTIFIED SAMPLE SEGMENTS AND WEIGHTS INVERSELY PROPORTIONAL TO THE VARIANCES
7. WEIGHTED LEAST SQUARES ESTIMATION USING ONLY THE COMPLETELY IDENTIFIED SAMPLE SEGMENTS AND WEIGHTS INVERSELY PROPORTIONAL TO THE VARIANCES
8. THE LEAST SQUARES ESTIMATOR OF THE RATIO OF CROP 1'S ACREAGE PROPORTION TO CROP 1 AND 2'S ACREAGE PROPORTION TIMES THE MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATOR OF THE COMBINED ACREAGE PROPORTION FOR CROPS 1 AND 2

THE FINITE SUPER POPULATION IS THE CAMS ESTIMATES FOR  
NORTH DAKOTA IN 1977

CROP 1 IS SPRING WHEAT  
CROP 2 IS SPRING SMALL GRAINS LESS SPRING WHEAT

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY.

THE NUMBER OF SEGMENTS IN THE FINITE SUPER POPULATION IS 83  
THE SEGMENT PERCENTAGES IN THE SUPER POPULATION ARE AS FOLLOWS: SEGMENT NUMBER, PROPORTIONS

1	10.300	6.200	83.500
2	33.960	8.100	58.000
3	28.600	9.200	62.200
4	32.600	13.400	54.000
5	29.000	3.060	68.000
6	27.300	13.300	59.400
7	22.800	14.500	62.700
8	38.500	14.700	46.800
9	23.600	3.900	72.500
10	27.100	9.800	63.100
11	40.100	5.960	54.000
12	20.100	15.900	64.000
13	34.300	4.700	61.000
14	27.900	10.260	61.900
15	23.900	2.100	74.000
16	28.600	6.400	65.000
17	12.100	4.900	83.000
18	13.600	5.400	81.000
19	15.400	5.600	79.000
20	29.000	9.900	61.100
21	19.200	7.400	73.400
22	3.800	1.200	95.000
23	22.900	8.700	68.400
24	25.800	10.100	64.100
25	37.500	5.860	56.700
26	28.200	11.500	60.300
27	37.600	13.500	48.900
28	37.200	10.300	52.000
29	17.600	7.500	74.900
30	28.000	7.900	64.100
31	42.500	7.400	50.100
32	23.300	5.700	71.000
33	45.000	13.000	42.000
34	16.700	3.600	79.700
35	0.001	0.001	100.000
36	17.600	7.560	74.900
37	8.400	2.600	89.000
38	15.800	6.000	78.200
39	14.000	5.000	81.000
40	7.400	0.600	92.000
41	39.600	3.900	56.500
42	25.300	5.500	69.200
43	13.500	3.500	83.000

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

45	48.700	15.300	36.000
46	49.000	8.100	42.900
47	7.200	7.800	85.000
48	56.900	9.100	40.000
49	12.900	6.100	81.000
50	15.400	5.400	79.200
51	19.000	15.500	65.500
52	16.700	5.900	77.400
53	5.800	2.500	91.700
54	20.400	4.500	75.100
55	20.100	4.200	75.700
56	14.300	11.700	74.000
57	16.100	10.100	73.900
58	5.000	0.000	95.000
59	36.500	13.100	50.400
60	32.600	15.300	52.100
61	26.300	7.700	66.000
62	28.300	4.700	67.000
63	23.300	13.700	63.000
64	30.900	6.500	62.600
65	26.900	11.800	61.300
66	33.900	3.000	63.100
67	3.200	0.900	95.900
68	43.700	2.700	53.600
69	0.001	0.001	100.000
70	48.600	10.400	41.000
71	12.400	2.500	85.100
72	7.400	1.600	91.000
73	7.000	0.000	93.000
74	9.100	10.900	80.000
75	35.700	16.900	47.400
76	27.400	10.800	61.800
77	4.900	0.000	95.100
78	11.300	2.700	86.000
79	16.200	2.800	81.000
80	20.600	4.400	75.000
81	18.900	16.000	65.100
82	33.700	4.100	62.200
83	20.800	9.500	69.700

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

THERE ARE 2 STRATUM SIZES TO BE CONSIDERED.  
THE DIFFERENT STRATUM SIZES ARE

40 20

FOR STRATA OF THE 1-TH SIZE, THE FOLLOWING SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZES ARE CONSIDERED:

5 10 15

FOR STRATA OF THE 2-TH SIZE, THE FOLLOWING SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZES ARE CONSIDERED:

5 10

FOR STRATA OF THE 1-TH SIZE AND SEGMENT SAMPLES OF SIZE 5  
THE FOLLOWING NUMBERS OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS ARE CONSIDERED:

1 2 3 4

FOR STRATA OF THE 1-TH SIZE AND SEGMENT SAMPLES OF SIZE 10  
THE FOLLOWING NUMBERS OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS ARE CONSIDERED:

2 4 6 8

FOR STRATA OF THE 1-TH SIZE AND SEGMENT SAMPLES OF SIZE 15  
THE FOLLOWING NUMBERS OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS ARE CONSIDERED:

3 6 9 12

FOR STRATA OF THE 2-TH SIZE AND SEGMENT SAMPLES OF SIZE 5  
THE FOLLOWING NUMBERS OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS ARE CONSIDERED:

1 2 3 4

FOR STRATA OF THE 2-TH SIZE AND SEGMENT SAMPLES OF SIZE 10  
THE FOLLOWING NUMBERS OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS ARE CONSIDERED:

2 4 6 8

1000 SEGMENT SAMPLES ARE TO BE TAKEN FOR EACH AMOUNT OF PARTIAL IDENTIFICATION.

THE TRUE SUPER POPULATION PROPORTIONS WERE : 0.23457 0.07301 0.69242

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 40 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 5 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 1

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR		
1	0.04242	0.01563
2	0.04761	0.01663
3	0.04164	0.01467
4	0.04272	0.01900
5	0.04761	0.01663
6	0.04242	0.01571
7	0.04761	0.01663
8	0.04306	0.01562

AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR		
1	0.00279	0.00039
2	0.00354	0.00044
3	0.00271	0.00034
4	0.00283	0.00057
5	0.00354	0.00044
6	0.00279	0.00040
7	0.00354	0.00044
8	0.00238	0.00039

BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE		
1	0.00249	0.00222
2	0.00321	0.00233
3	0.00285	0.00185
4	0.00269	0.00181
5	0.00321	0.00233
6	0.00233	0.00237
7	0.00321	0.00233
8	0.00360	0.00111

SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR		
1	0.05491	0.02047
2	0.06153	0.02169
3	0.05429	0.01923
4	0.05534	0.02441
5	0.06153	0.02169
6	0.05491	0.02058
7	0.06153	0.02169
8	0.05570	0.02049

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 40 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 5 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 2

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR
1	0.04334
2	0.05663
3	0.04250
4	0.04457
5	0.05663
6	0.04353
7	0.05663
8	0.04423

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR
1	0.00291
2	0.00489
3	0.00280
4	0.00305
5	0.00489
6	0.00293
7	0.00489
8	0.00302

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE
1	0.00369
2	0.00524
3	0.00376
4	0.00421
5	0.00524
6	0.00301
7	0.00524
8	0.00430

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR
1	0.05642
2	0.07209
3	0.05532
4	0.05770
5	0.07209
6	0.05665
7	0.07209
8	0.05742

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 40 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 5 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 3

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR
1	0.04422
2	0.06930
3	0.04138
4	0.04655
5	0.06930
6	0.04515
7	0.06930
8	0.04501

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR
1	0.00297
2	0.00710
3	0.00265
4	0.00331
5	0.00710
6	0.00369
7	0.00710
8	0.00310

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE
1	0.00199
2	0.00339
3	0.00268
4	0.00268
5	0.00339
6	-0.00031
7	0.00339
8	0.00242

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR
1	0.05704
2	0.08628
3	0.05403
4	0.06004
5	0.08628
6	0.05898
7	0.08628
8	0.05824

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 40 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 5 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 4

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR
1	0.04912
2	0.10303
3	0.04131
4	0.05710
5	0.10303
6	0.06211
7	0.10303
8	0.04912

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR
1	0.00372
2	0.01554
3	0.00267
4	0.00494
5	0.01554
6	0.02812
7	0.01554
8	0.00372

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE
1	0.00025
2	0.00365
3	0.00188
4	0.00267
5	0.00365
6	-0.01665
7	0.00365
8	0.00025

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR
1	0.06348
2	0.12617
3	0.05369
4	0.07263
5	0.12617
6	0.16780
7	0.12617
8	0.06348

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 40 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 10 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 2

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR
1	0.02759
2	0.03237
3	0.02713
4	0.02789
5	0.03237
6	0.02758
7	0.03237
8	0.02808

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR
1	0.00116
2	0.00156
3	0.00113
4	0.00118
5	0.00156
6	0.00116
7	0.00156
8	0.00121

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE
1	0.00152
2	0.00125
3	0.00147
4	0.00151
5	0.00125
6	0.00145
7	0.00125
8	0.00282

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR
1	0.03707
2	0.04232
3	0.03665
4	0.03740
5	0.04232
6	0.03707
7	0.04232
8	0.03778

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 40 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 10 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 4

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR		
1	0.02876	0.01198
2	0.03813	0.01353
3	0.02781	0.00988
4	0.02945	0.01684
5	0.03813	0.01353
6	0.02878	0.01219
7	0.03813	0.01353
8	0.02958	0.01201

AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR		
1	0.00127	0.00023
2	0.00223	0.00028
3	0.00120	0.00015
4	0.00134	0.00045
5	0.00223	0.00028
6	0.00128	0.00024
7	0.00223	0.00028
8	0.00134	0.00023

BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE		
1	0.00175	0.00106
2	0.00136	0.00074
3	0.00178	0.00103
4	0.00173	0.00116
5	0.00136	0.00074
6	0.00152	0.00138
7	0.00136	0.00074
8	0.00307	-0.00026

SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR		
1	0.03861	0.01592
2	0.04943	0.01752
3	0.03737	0.01330
4	0.03939	0.02171
5	0.04943	0.01752
6	0.03865	0.01621
7	0.04943	0.01752
8	0.03941	0.01592

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 40 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 10 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 6

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR
1	0.02976
2	0.04910
3	0.02779
4	0.03215
5	0.04910
6	0.02986
7	0.04910
8	0.03045

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR
1	0.00138
2	0.00363
3	0.00121
4	0.00157
5	0.00363
6	0.00139
7	0.00363
8	0.00145

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE
1	0.00147
2	0.00122
3	0.00179
4	0.00150
5	0.00122
6	0.00066
7	0.00122
8	0.00236

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR
1	0.03753
2	0.06100
3	0.03759
4	0.04162
5	0.06100
6	0.03973
7	0.06100
8	0.04051

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 40 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 10 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 8

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR	
1	0.03277
2	0.07251
3	0.02741
4	0.03978
5	0.07251
6	0.03346
7	0.07251
8	0.03382

AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR	
1	0.00166
2	0.00894
3	0.00114
4	0.00240
5	0.00894
6	0.00171
7	0.00894
8	0.00177

BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE	
1	0.00154
2	0.00042
3	0.0175
4	0.00163
5	0.00042
6	-0.00222
7	0.00042
8	0.00178

SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR	
1	0.04337
2	0.09070
3	0.03657
4	0.05104
5	0.09070
6	0.04383
7	0.09070
8	0.04467

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 40 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 15 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 3

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR		
1	0.02056	0.00787
2	0.02446	0.00863
3	0.02029	0.00724
4	0.02089	0.00982
5	0.02446	0.00863
6	0.02035	0.00791
7	0.02446	0.00863
8	0.02104	0.00795

AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR		
1	0.00068	0.00010
2	0.00092	0.00012
3	0.00066	0.00008
4	0.00069	0.00016
5	0.00092	0.00012
6	0.00068	0.00010
7	0.00092	0.00012
8	0.00071	0.00010

BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE		
1	-0.00020	0.00001
2	-0.00065	-0.00015
3	-0.00018	-0.00001
4	-0.00027	0.00023
5	-0.00065	-0.00015
6	-0.00024	0.00010
7	-0.00065	-0.00015
8	0.00101	-0.00120

SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR		
1	0.02960	0.01083
2	0.03341	0.01163
3	0.02920	0.01015
4	0.02980	0.01321
5	0.03341	0.01163
6	0.02960	0.01091
7	0.03341	0.01163
8	0.03021	0.01080

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 40 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 15 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 5

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR
1	0.02073
2	0.02889
3	0.02002
4	0.02125
5	0.02889
6	0.02074
7	0.02889
8	0.02124

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR
1	0.00067
2	0.00132
3	0.00062
4	0.00071
5	0.00132
6	0.00068
7	0.00132
8	0.00070

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE
1	0.00024
2	-0.00039
3	0.00009
4	0.00013
5	-0.00039
6	0.00008
7	-0.00039
8	0.00140

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR
1	0.02980
2	0.03867
3	0.02895
4	0.03032
5	0.03867
6	0.02983
7	0.03867
8	0.03047

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 40 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 15 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 9

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR		
1	0.02160	0.01083
2	0.03862	0.01404
3	0.02090	0.00723
4	0.02356	0.01704
5	0.03862	0.01404
6	0.02172	0.01098
7	0.03862	0.01404
8	0.02216	0.01143

AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR		
1	0.00074	0.00019
2	0.00228	0.00031
3	0.00062	0.00008
4	0.00087	0.00045
5	0.00228	0.00031
6	0.00074	0.00020
7	0.00228	0.00031
8	0.00078	0.00021

BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE		
1	-0.00036	-0.00009
2	-0.00297	-0.00099
3	-0.00059	0.00014
4	-0.00102	0.00096
5	-0.00297	-0.00099
6	-0.00087	0.00056
7	-0.00297	-0.00099
8	0.00045	-0.00090

SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR		
1	0.03026	0.01475
2	0.04975	0.01834
3	0.02829	0.01045
4	0.03252	0.02172
5	0.04975	0.01834
6	0.03036	0.01489
7	0.04975	0.01834
8	0.03116	0.01525

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 40 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 15 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 12

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR		
1	0.02490	0.01599
2	0.05783	0.02037
3	0.02047	0.00734
4	0.02984	0.02571
5	0.05783	0.02037
6	0.02511	0.01603
7	0.05783	0.02037
8	0.02596	0.01698

AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR		
1	0.00097	0.00040
2	0.00499	0.00064
3	0.00067	0.00008
4	0.00139	0.00101
5	0.00499	0.00064
6	0.00099	0.00041
7	0.00499	0.00064
8	0.00105	0.00045

BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE		
1	0.00026	0.00050
2	-0.00105	-0.00054
3	0.00079	-0.00004
4	0.00019	0.00070
5	-0.00105	-0.00054
6	-0.00152	0.00240
7	-0.00105	-0.00054
8	0.00023	0.00052

SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR		
1	0.03436	0.02037
2	0.07221	0.02566
3	0.02976	0.01035
4	0.04004	0.03196
5	0.07221	0.02566
6	0.03458	0.02059
7	0.07221	0.02566
8	0.03567	0.02154

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 20 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 5 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 1

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR
1	0.03858
2	0.04432
3	0.03831
4	0.03897
5	0.04432
6	0.03869
7	0.04432
8	0.03952

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR
1	0.00232
2	0.00304
3	0.00228
4	0.00236
5	0.00304
6	0.00232
7	0.00304
8	0.00241

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE
1	0.00174
2	0.00208
3	0.00216
4	0.00185
5	0.00208
6	0.00159
7	0.00208
8	0.00286

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR
1	0.05416
2	0.06099
3	0.05369
4	0.05459
5	0.06099
6	0.05417
7	0.06099
8	0.05504

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 20 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 5 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 2

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR
1	0.03852
2	0.05255
3	0.03779
4	0.03970
5	0.05255
6	0.03870
7	0.05255
8	0.03937

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR
1	0.000237
2	0.000425
3	0.000225
4	0.00247
5	0.00425
6	0.00238
7	0.00425
8	0.00247

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE
1	0.00170
2	0.00214
3	0.00233
4	0.00203
5	0.00214
6	0.00103
7	0.00214
8	0.00228

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR
1	0.05557
2	0.07176
3	0.05435
4	0.05691
5	0.07176
6	0.05574
7	0.07176
8	0.05642

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 20 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 5 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 3

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR		
1	0.04167	0.01989
2	0.06821	0.02423
3	0.03894	0.01398
4	0.04444	0.02949
5	0.06821	0.02423
6	0.04247	0.01991
7	0.06821	0.02423
8	0.04255	0.02020

AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR		
1	0.00265	0.00064
2	0.00697	0.00089
3	0.00232	0.00030
4	0.00302	0.00137
5	0.00697	0.00089
6	0.00275	0.00065
7	0.00697	0.00089
8	0.00276	0.00066

BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE		
1	0.00179	0.00150
2	0.00262	0.00103
3	0.00202	0.00128
4	0.00242	0.00083
5	0.00262	0.00103
6	-0.00045	0.00395
7	0.00262	0.00103
8	0.00200	0.00130

SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR		
1	0.05775	0.02695
2	0.08753	0.03133
3	0.05482	0.01958
4	0.06093	0.03797
5	0.08753	0.03133
6	0.05870	0.02673
7	0.08753	0.03133
8	0.05894	0.02726

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 20 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 5 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 4

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR
1	0.04639
2	0.10052
3	0.03950
4	0.05433
5	0.10052
6	0.06095
7	0.10052
8	0.04639

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR
1	0.00329
2	0.01476
3	0.00232
4	0.00446
5	0.01476
6	0.03216
7	0.01476
8	0.00329

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE
1	0.00090
2	0.00385
3	0.00309
4	0.00337
5	0.00385
6	-0.02031
7	0.00385
8	0.00090

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR
1	0.06352
2	0.12537
3	0.05456
4	0.07259
5	0.12537
6	0.18089
7	0.12537
8	0.06352

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 20 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 10 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 2

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR
1	0.02312
2	0.02779
3	0.02269
4	0.02341
5	0.02779
6	0.02312
7	0.02779
8	0.02362

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR
1	0.00082
2	0.00119
3	0.00079
4	0.00084
5	0.00119
6	0.00082
7	0.00119
8	0.00085

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE
1	0.00226
2	0.00224
3	0.00226
4	0.00228
5	0.00224
6	0.00219
7	0.00224
8	0.00354

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR
1	0.03809
2	0.04513
3	0.03768
4	0.03843
5	0.04313
6	0.03809
7	0.04313
8	0.03876

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 20 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 10 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 4

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR
1	0.02323
2	0.03384
3	0.02215
4	0.02406
5	0.03384
6	0.02325
7	0.03384
8	0.02399

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR
1	0.00084
2	0.00182
3	0.00077
4	0.00091
5	0.00182
6	0.00084
7	0.00182
8	0.00090

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE
1	0.00156
2	0.00139
3	0.00129
4	0.00163
5	0.00139
6	0.00135
7	0.00138
8	0.00286

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR
1	0.03789
2	0.04869
3	0.03672
4	0.03868
5	0.04869
6	0.03771
7	0.04869
8	0.03873

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 20 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 10 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 6

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR		
1	0.02407	0.01306
2	0.04533	0.01629
3	0.02206	0.00784
4	0.02688	0.02077
5	0.04533	0.01629
6	0.02419	0.01324
7	0.04533	0.01629
8	0.02476	0.01362

AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR		
1	0.00092	0.00027
2	0.00315	0.00041
3	0.00077	0.00010
4	0.00111	0.00066
5	0.00315	0.00041
6	0.00093	0.00028
7	0.00315	0.00041
8	0.00098	0.00029

BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE		
1	0.00098	0.00061
2	0.00031	0.00020
3	0.00100	0.00049
4	0.00085	0.00075
5	0.00031	0.00020
6	0.00009	0.00151
7	0.00031	0.00020
8	0.00186	-0.00037

SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR		
1	0.03923	0.01971
2	0.06086	0.02221
3	0.03741	0.01300
4	0.04141	0.02704
5	0.06086	0.02221
6	0.03935	0.01885
7	0.06086	0.02221
8	0.04099	0.01920

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 20 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 10 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 8

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR
1	0.02864
2	0.07057
3	0.02289
4	0.03621
5	0.07057
6	0.02895
7	0.07057
8	0.02961

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR
1	0.00128
2	0.00761
3	0.00080
4	0.00202
5	0.00761
6	0.00131
7	0.00761
8	0.00137

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE
1	0.00179
2	0.00150
3	0.00207
4	0.00206
5	0.00150
6	-0.00207
7	0.00150
8	0.00195

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR
1	0.04350
2	0.09067
3	0.03698
4	0.05127
5	0.09057
6	0.04400
7	0.09067
8	0.04463

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

**APPENDIX B**  
**MONTE CARLO STUDY USING THE**  
**1978 BARLEY DATA SET**

NASA  
PARTIAL IDENTIFICATION PROBLEM  
SIMULATOR FOR SINGLE YEAR ESTIMATION PROCEDURES

TWO CROPS OF INTEREST

DECEMBER 1981

EIGHT ESTIMATION PROCEDURES ARE CONSIDERED

1. MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION USING BOTH PARTIALLY AND COMPLETELY IDENTIFIED SAMPLE SEGMENTS
2. MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION USING ONLY THE COMPLETELY IDENTIFIED SAMPLE SEGMENTS
3. MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION PRETENDING THAT ALL SAMPLE SEGMENTS WERE COMPLETELY IDENTIFIED
4. UNWEIGHTED LEAST SQUARES ESTIMATION USING BOTH PARTIALLY AND COMPLETELY IDENTIFIED SAMPLE SEGMENTS
5. UNWEIGHTED LEAST SQUARES ESTIMATION USING ONLY THE COMPLETELY IDENTIFIED SAMPLE SEGMENTS
6. WEIGHTED LEAST SQUARES ESTIMATION USING BOTH PARTIALLY AND COMPLETELY IDENTIFIED SAMPLE SEGMENTS AND WEIGHTS INVERSELY PROPORTIONAL TO THE VARIANCES
7. WEIGHTED LEAST SQUARES ESTIMATION USING ONLY THE COMPLETELY IDENTIFIED SAMPLE SEGMENTS AND WEIGHTS INVERSELY PROPORTIONAL TO THE VARIANCES
8. THE LEAST SQUARES ESTIMATOR OF THE RATIO OF CROP 1'S ACREAGE PROPORTION TO CROP 1 AND 2'S ACREAGE PROPORTION TIMES THE MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATOR OF THE COMBINED ACREAGE PROPORTION FOR CROPS 1 AND 2

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

THE NUMBER OF SEGMENTS IN THE FINITE SUPER POPULATION IS 76  
THE SEGMENT PERCENTAGES IN THE SUPER POPULATION ARE AS FOLLOWS: SEGMENT NUMBER, PROPORTIONS

1	0.000	47.000	53.000
2	2.700	40.300	57.000
3	4.200	22.100	73.700
4	12.000	31.000	57.000
5	2.000	33.000	65.000
6	9.000	32.000	59.000
7	4.000	32.000	64.000
8	5.100	30.900	66.000
9	4.200	14.300	81.500
10	1.000	29.000	70.000
11	15.200	26.700	58.100
12	6.000	49.100	45.900
13	7.700	47.900	44.400
14	17.300	22.600	60.100
15	13.700	17.800	68.500
16	3.700	30.000	66.300
17	14.000	18.000	68.000
18	12.000	36.700	51.300
19	10.000	26.200	63.800
20	18.000	35.000	47.000
21	8.400	49.900	41.700
22	7.800	38.700	53.500
23	6.000	31.000	63.000
24	1.500	13.500	85.000
25	4.000	42.000	54.000
26	7.000	41.000	52.000
27	11.000	17.000	72.000
28	0.000	34.000	66.000
29	0.600	21.600	77.800
30	13.000	40.000	47.000
31	5.000	19.000	76.000
32	0.000	19.000	81.000
33	2.800	47.200	50.000
34	3.000	29.000	69.000
35	0.000	7.000	93.000
36	9.500	31.400	59.100
37	4.000	38.000	58.000
38	15.900	40.000	44.100
39	0.600	9.300	90.100
40	3.000	33.000	64.000
41	0.900	7.100	92.000
42	2.200	19.800	78.000
43	1.200	3.600	95.200
44	8.000	23.000	69.000
45	2.000	41.000	57.000
46	14.000	74.000	51.000

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY.

47	17.300	23.800	58.900
48	4.400	16.000	79.600
49	0.000	9.600	90.400
50	0.000	19.000	81.000
51	0.000	9.600	90.400
52	4.300	15.000	80.700
53	5.000	27.000	68.000
54	1.000	3.000	96.000
55	1.800	3.600	94.600
56	7.600	25.000	67.400
57	4.000	35.000	61.000
58	1.700	15.300	83.000
59	5.000	26.000	69.000
60	8.000	18.000	74.000
61	10.000	24.000	66.000
62	4.500	39.300	56.200
63	4.000	36.000	60.000
64	1.700	13.000	85.300
65	0.000	10.000	90.000
66	0.600	15.000	84.400
67	0.000	12.900	87.100
68	6.100	21.700	72.200
69	0.000	9.000	91.000
70	2.000	23.700	74.300
71	5.000	20.000	75.000
72	4.000	5.000	91.000
73	2.000	17.000	81.000
74	5.000	19.000	76.000
75	1.000	17.000	82.000
76	4.000	29.000	67.000

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

THERE ARE 2 STRATUM SIZES TO BE CONSIDERED.  
THE DIFFERENT STRATUM SIZES ARE

40 20

FOR STRATA OF THE 1-TH SIZE, THE FOLLOWING SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZES ARE CONSIDERED:

5 10 15

FOR STRATA OF THE 2-TH SIZE, THE FOLLOWING SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZES ARE CONSIDERED:

5 10

FOR STRATA OF THE 1-TH SIZE AND SEGMENT SAMPLES OF SIZE 5

THE FOLLOWING NUMBERS OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS ARE CONSIDERED:

1 2 3 4

FOR STRATA OF THE 1-TH SIZE AND SEGMENT SAMPLES OF SIZE 10

THE FOLLOWING NUMBERS OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS ARE CONSIDERED:

2 4 6 8

FOR STRATA OF THE 1-TH SIZE AND SEGMENT SAMPLES OF SIZE 15

THE FOLLOWING NUMBERS OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS ARE CONSIDERED:

3 6 9 12

FOR STRATA OF THE 2-TH SIZE AND SEGMENT SAMPLES OF SIZE 5

THE FOLLOWING NUMBERS OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS ARE CONSIDERED:

1 2 3 4

FOR STRATA OF THE 2-TH SIZE AND SEGMENT SAMPLES OF SIZE 10

THE FOLLOWING NUMBERS OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS ARE CONSIDERED:

2 4 6 8

1000 SEGMENT SAMPLES ARE TO BE TAKEN FOR EACH AMOUNT OF PARTIAL IDENTIFICATION.

THE TRUE SUPER POPULATION PROPORTIONS WERE : 0.05279 0.25134 0.69587

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 40 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 5 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 1

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR
1	0.01825
2	0.01885
3	0.01697
4	0.02150
5	0.01885
6	0.01821
7	0.01885
8	0.01936

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR
1	0.00053
2	0.00055
3	0.00045
4	0.00072
5	0.00055
6	0.00053
7	0.00055
8	0.00058

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE
1	0.00161
2	0.00170
3	0.00154
4	0.00178
5	0.00170
6	0.00181
7	0.00170
8	0.00335

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR
1	0.02388
2	0.02448
3	0.02209
4	0.02747
5	0.02448
6	0.02390
7	0.02448
8	0.02492

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 40 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 5 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 2

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR
1	0.02047
2	0.02200
3	0.01699
4	0.02661
5	0.02200
6	0.02025
7	0.02200
8	0.02176

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR
1	0.00065
2	0.00074
3	0.00044
4	0.00107
5	0.00074
6	0.00064
7	0.00074
8	0.00072

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE
1	0.00077
2	0.00069
3	0.00078
4	0.00195
5	0.00069
6	0.00170
7	0.00069
8	0.00246

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR
1	0.02612
2	0.02791
3	0.02173
4	0.03305
5	0.02791
6	0.02592
7	0.02791
8	0.02791
9	0.02742

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 40 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 5 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 3

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR			
1	0.02393	0.04626	0.05160
2	0.02727	0.06885	0.08351
3	0.01666	0.04260	0.05160
4	0.03111	0.04836	0.05204
5	0.02727	0.06885	0.08351
6	0.02316	0.04650	0.05161
7	0.02727	0.06885	0.08351
8	0.02524	0.04702	0.05160

AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR			
1	0.00087	0.00324	0.00402
2	0.00113	0.00699	0.01021
3	0.00044	0.00280	0.00402
4	0.00149	0.00356	0.00406
5	0.00113	0.00699	0.01021
6	0.00085	0.00329	0.00401
7	0.00113	0.00699	0.01021
8	0.00098	0.00332	0.00402

BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE			
1	0.00077	0.00658	-0.00734
2	0.00101	0.00109	-0.00211
3	0.00177	0.00557	-0.00734
4	0.00392	0.00400	-0.00792
5	0.00101	0.00109	-0.00211
6	0.00351	0.00407	-0.00758
7	0.00101	0.00109	-0.00211
8	0.00220	0.00515	-0.00734

SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR			
1	0.03023	0.03824	0.06531
2	0.03411	0.08468	0.10245
3	0.02162	0.05465	0.06531
4	0.03901	0.06126	0.06564
5	0.03411	0.08488	0.10245
6	0.02965	0.05890	0.06529
7	0.03411	0.08488	0.10245
8	0.03199	0.05914	0.06531

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 40 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 5 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 4

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR
1	0.03330
2	0.03893
3	0.01704
4	0.04530
5	0.03893
6	0.03469
7	0.03893
8	0.03330

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR
1	0.00165
2	0.00226
3	0.00045
4	0.00314
5	0.00226
6	0.00424
7	0.00226
8	0.00165

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE
1	-0.00519
2	-0.00429
3	0.00123
4	0.00629
5	-0.00429
6	0.00970
7	-0.00429
8	-0.00519

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR
1	0.04041
2	0.04752
3	0.02188
4	0.05603
5	0.04752
6	0.06451
7	0.04752
8	0.04041

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 40 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 10 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 2

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR
1	0.01161
2	0.01201
3	0.01064
4	0.01428
5	0.01201
6	0.01164
7	0.01201
8	0.01245

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR
1	0.00021
2	0.00023
3	0.00018
4	0.00032
5	0.00023
6	0.00021
7	0.00023
8	0.00024

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE
1	0.00094
2	0.00078
3	0.00089
4	0.00138
5	0.00078
6	0.00107
7	0.00078
8	0.00280

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR
1	0.01584
2	0.01634
3	0.01483
4	0.01880
5	0.01634
6	0.01589
7	0.01634
8	0.01666

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 40 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 10 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 4

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR		
1	0.01361	0.02824
2	0.01488	0.03800
3	0.01084	0.02759
4	0.01794	0.02915
5	0.01488	0.03800
6	0.01356	0.02828
7	0.01488	0.03800
8	0.01458	0.02897

AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR		
1	0.00029	0.00124
2	0.00035	0.00223
3	0.00019	0.00117
4	0.00049	0.00131
5	0.00035	0.00223
6	0.00029	0.00124
7	0.00035	0.00223
8	0.00033	0.00130

BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE		
1	-0.00016	0.00282
2	-0.00102	-0.00261
3	0.00046	0.00220
4	0.00268	0.00109
5	-0.00102	-0.00261
6	0.00041	0.00247
7	-0.00102	-0.00261
8	0.00172	0.00094

SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR		
1	0.01862	0.03868
2	0.01945	0.05015
3	0.01490	0.03764
4	0.02257	0.03980
5	0.01945	0.05015
6	0.01797	0.03870
7	0.01945	0.05015
8	0.01902	0.03956

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 40 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 10 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 6

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR		
1	0.01625	0.03156	0.03368
2	0.01874	0.05009	0.05918
3	0.01037	0.02879	0.03368
4	0.02282	0.03398	0.03398
5	0.01874	0.05009	0.05918
6	0.01599	0.03185	0.03369
7	0.01874	0.05009	0.05918
8	0.01784	0.03256	0.03368

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR		
1	0.00040	0.00156	0.00177
2	0.00052	0.00381	0.00527
3	0.00017	0.00128	0.00177
4	0.00083	0.00178	0.00182
5	0.00052	0.00381	0.00527
6	0.00040	0.00158	0.00178
7	0.00052	0.00381	0.00527
8	0.00047	0.00165	0.00177

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE		
1	0.00090	0.00156	-0.00246
2	0.00069	-0.00148	0.00079
3	0.00084	0.00162	-0.00246
4	0.00250	0.00033	-0.00283
5	0.00069	-0.00148	0.00079
6	0.00211	0.00049	-0.00261
7	0.00069	-0.00148	0.00079
8	0.00257	-0.00010	-0.00246

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR		
1	0.02134	0.04209	0.04618
2	0.02403	0.06407	0.07572
3	0.01462	0.03900	0.04618
4	0.02957	0.04498	0.04651
5	0.02403	0.06407	0.07572
6	0.02110	0.04235	0.04620
7	0.02403	0.06407	0.07572
8	0.02297	0.04323	0.04618

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 40 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 10 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 8

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR		
1	0.02294	0.03478
2	0.02759	0.06985
3	0.01084	0.02809
4	0.03193	0.04001
5	0.02759	0.06895
6	0.02272	0.03546
7	0.02759	0.06885
8	0.02422	0.03561

AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR		
1	0.00078	0.00187
2	0.00111	0.00719
3	0.00019	0.00123
4	0.00160	0.00242
5	0.00111	0.00719
6	0.00083	0.00199
7	0.00111	0.00719
8	0.00088	0.00195

BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE		
1	-0.00128	0.00398
2	-0.00095	-0.00348
3	0.00076	0.00194
4	0.00280	0.00028
5	-0.00095	-0.00348
6	0.00354	-0.00062
7	-0.00095	-0.00348
8	0.00023	0.00247

SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR		
1	0.02848	0.04555
2	0.03388	0.08663
3	0.01487	0.03836
4	0.04028	0.05172
5	0.03388	0.08663
6	0.02906	0.04679
7	0.03388	0.08663
8	0.03028	0.04652

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 40 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 15 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 3

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR
1	0.00915
2	0.00981
3	0.00806
4	0.01081
5	0.00981
6	0.00908
7	0.00981
8	0.00983

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR
1	0.00013
2	0.00015
3	0.00010
4	0.00018
5	0.00015
6	0.00013
7	0.00015
8	0.00015

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE
1	-0.00004
2	-0.00056
3	-0.00004
4	0.00152
5	-0.00056
6	0.00016
7	-0.00056
8	0.00165

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR
1	0.01285
2	0.01349
3	0.01167
4	0.01473
5	0.01349
6	0.01282
7	0.01349
8	0.01365

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 40 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 15 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 6

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR
1	0.01032
2	0.01185
3	0.00816
4	0.01406
5	0.01185
6	0.01019
7	0.01185
8	0.01117

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR
1	0.00016
2	0.00021
3	0.00010
4	0.00031
5	0.00021
6	0.00016
7	0.00021
8	0.00019

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE
1	-0.00037
2	-0.00101
3	0.00003
4	0.00160
5	-0.00101
6	0.00002
7	-0.00101
8	0.00131

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR
1	0.01401
2	0.01559
3	0.01174
4	0.01861
5	0.01559
6	0.01395
7	0.01559
8	0.01557

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 40 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 15 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 9

ESTIMATOR #0. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR
1	0.01285
2	0.01553
3	0.00799
4	0.01804
5	0.01553
6	0.01249
7	0.01553
8	0.01389

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR
1	0.00026
2	0.00037
3	0.00010
4	0.00051
5	0.00037
6	0.00025
7	0.00037
8	0.00030

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE
1	-0.00020
2	-0.00070
3	-0.00009
4	0.00232
5	-0.00070
6	0.00061
7	-0.00070
8	0.00143

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR
1	0.01691
2	0.02018
3	0.01155
4	0.02298
5	0.02018
6	0.01654
7	0.02018
8	0.01807

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 40 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 15 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 12

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR		
1	0.01728	0.02625
2	0.02160	0.05899
3	0.00810	0.02149
4	0.02579	0.03219
5	0.02160	0.05899
6	0.01690	0.02646
7	0.02160	0.05899
8	0.01867	0.02710

AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR		
1	0.00046	0.00108
2	0.00069	0.00515
3	0.00010	0.00071
4	0.00107	0.00159
5	0.00069	0.00515
6	0.00044	0.00109
7	0.00069	0.00515
8	0.00053	0.00115

BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE		
1	-0.00130	0.00045
2	-0.00210	-0.00754
3	-0.00033	-0.00083
4	0.00252	-0.00291
5	-0.00210	-0.00754
6	0.00128	-0.00137
7	-0.00210	-0.00754
8	-0.00037	-0.00048

SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR		
1	0.02196	0.03639
2	0.02663	0.07287
3	0.01181	0.03075
4	0.03324	0.04257
5	0.02663	0.07287
6	0.02161	0.03645
7	0.02663	0.07287
8	0.07755	0.03771

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 20 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 5 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 1

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR		
1	0.01685	0.04080	0.04862
2	0.01758	0.04659	0.05538
3	0.01542	0.03990	0.04862
4	0.02000	0.04125	0.04891
5	0.01758	0.04659	0.05538
6	0.01679	0.04079	0.04862
7	0.01758	0.04659	0.05538
8	0.01793	0.04144	0.04862

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR		
1	0.00045	0.00257	0.00352
2	0.00049	0.00324	0.00457
3	0.00038	0.00247	0.00352
4	0.00063	0.00261	0.00358
5	0.00049	0.00324	0.00457
6	0.00045	0.00157	0.00352
7	0.00049	0.00324	0.00457
8	0.00050	0.00265	0.00352

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE		
1	0.00213	0.00527	-0.00740
2	0.00230	0.00525	-0.00756
3	0.00265	0.00535	-0.00740
4	0.00221	0.00516	-0.00736
5	0.00230	0.00525	-0.00756
6	0.00230	0.00510	-0.00740
7	0.00230	0.00525	-0.00756
8	0.00277	0.00363	-0.00740

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR		
1	0.02368	0.05508	0.06472
2	0.02442	0.06165	0.07326
3	0.02179	0.05430	0.06472
4	0.02690	0.05567	0.06495
5	0.02442	0.06165	0.07326
6	0.02365	0.05510	0.06470
7	0.02442	0.06165	0.07326
8	0.02472	0.05619	0.06472

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 20 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 5 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 2

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR
1	0.01895
2	0.02026
3	0.01541
4	0.02533
5	0.02026
6	0.01886
7	0.02026
8	0.02009

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR
1	0.00057
2	0.00066
3	0.00037
4	0.00098
5	0.00066
6	0.00057
7	0.00066
8	0.00064

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE
1	0.00078
2	0.00076
3	0.00095
4	0.00189
5	0.00076
6	0.00163
7	0.00076
8	0.00261

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR
1	0.02604
2	0.02775
3	0.02174
4	0.03263
5	0.02775
6	0.02589
7	0.02775
8	0.02736

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 20 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 5 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 3

ESTIMATOR NO.      OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR
1	0.02327
2	0.02651
3	0.01568
4	0.03144
5	0.02651
6	0.02268
7	0.02651
8	0.02459

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR
1	0.00085
2	0.00109
3	0.00039
4	0.00151
5	0.00109
6	0.00083
7	0.00109
8	0.00095

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE
1	0.00057
2	0.00053
3	0.00155
4	0.00424
5	0.00053
6	0.00362
7	0.00053
8	0.00178

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR
1	0.03072
2	0.03434
3	0.02204
4	0.04010
5	0.03434
6	0.03007
7	0.03434
8	0.03230

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 20 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 5 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 4

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR	
1	0.03269	0.04881
2	0.03854	0.09959
3	0.01539	0.03939
4	0.04462	0.05483
5	0.03854	0.09959
6	0.03561	0.05212
7	0.03854	0.09959
8	0.03269	0.04881

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR	
1	0.00160	0.00361
2	0.00225	0.01361
3	0.00038	0.00236
4	0.000307	0.00447
5	0.00225	0.01361
6	0.00673	0.00824
7	0.00225	0.01361
8	0.00160	0.00361

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE	
1	-0.00482	0.01274
2	-0.00299	-0.00608
3	0.00167	0.00625
4	0.00595	0.00286
5	-0.00299	-0.00608
6	0.01000	-0.00145
7	-0.00299	-0.00608
8	-0.00482	0.01274

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR	
1	0.04072	0.06249
2	0.04847	0.11925
3	0.02192	0.05357
4	0.05572	0.07054
5	0.04847	0.11925
6	0.08185	0.09365
7	0.04847	0.11925
8	0.04072	0.06249

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 20 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 10 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 2

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR
1	0.00993
2	0.01052
3	0.00868
4	0.01282
5	0.01052
6	0.00995
7	0.01052
8	0.01086

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR
1	0.00015
2	0.00017
3	0.00012
4	0.00025
5	0.00017
6	0.00015
7	0.00017
8	0.00018

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE
1	0.00088
2	0.00077
3	0.00073
4	0.06125
5	0.00077
6	0.00100
7	0.00077
8	0.00268

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR
1	0.01596
2	0.01658
3	0.01465
4	0.01880
5	0.01558
6	0.01597
7	0.01658
8	0.01675

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 20 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 10 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 4

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR
1	0.01233
2	0.01372
3	0.00908
4	0.01681
5	0.01372
6	0.01225
7	0.01372
8	0.01330

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR
1	0.00023
2	0.00029
3	0.00013
4	0.00043
5	0.00029
6	0.00023
7	0.00029
8	0.00027

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE
1	0.00021
2	-0.00045
3	0.00090
4	0.00246
5	-0.00045
6	0.00073
7	-0.00045
8	0.00228

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR
1	0.01791
2	0.01936
3	0.01484
4	0.02265
5	0.01936
6	0.01785
7	0.01936
8	0.01887

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 20 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 10 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 6

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR
1	0.01491
2	0.01763
3	0.00847
4	0.02218
5	0.01763
6	0.01471
7	0.01763
8	0.01650

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR
1	0.00034
2	0.00047
3	0.00011
4	0.00075
5	0.00047
6	0.00033
7	0.00047
8	0.00041

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE
1	0.00059
2	0.00016
3	0.00060
4	0.00312
5	0.00016
6	0.00186
7	0.00016
8	0.00223

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR
1	0.02117
2	0.02415
3	0.01487
4	0.02893
5	0.02415
6	0.02086
7	0.02415
8	0.02281

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY: WHEN THE SIMULATED STRATUM CONTAINS 20 SEGMENTS,  
THE SEGMENT SAMPLE SIZE IS 10 AND THE NUMBER OF PARTIALLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENTS IS 8

ESTIMATOR NO. OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PROPORTIONS P(1), P(2), P(3), ETC.

	AVERAGE ABSOLUTE ERROR
1	0.02168
2	0.02599
3	0.00905
4	0.03221
5	0.02599
6	0.02198
7	0.02599
8	0.02319

	AVERAGE SQUARED ERROR
1	0.00073
2	0.00102
3	0.00013
4	0.00162
5	0.00102
6	0.00081
7	0.00102
8	0.00083

	BIAS OF AVERAGE ESTIMATE
1	-0.00084
2	-0.00046
3	0.00091
4	0.00278
5	-0.00046
6	0.00416
7	-0.00046
8	0.00072

	SAMPLE VARIANCE OF ESTIMATOR
1	0.02844
2	0.03342
3	0.01488
4	0.04118
5	0.03342
6	0.02901
7	0.03342
8	0.03044

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY